

London South Bank
University

Activist Strategies: Getting Past Peripheral Participation... ...and Empowering Reluctant Activists!

David Clemson – d.clemson@lsbu.ac.uk
London South Bank University/London RCE
Washad Emambocus
London South Bank University

IEEC Anglia Ruskin University September 2015

Introductory Discussions

- Why are we here?
- Interested in enterprise/entrepreneurship education...
- I want to be here? I *have* to be here? (who told you?)
- Let's suppose we do *want* to be here and we are *interested*...talk about it!
- What about those situations when you find yourselves wondering about why so 'participants' are there... present but not really 'with you'?
- Levels of engagement and the legitimate peripheral participant
- Talk with each other about your experiences of this phenomena

Workshop Session Plan

- Work groups – ideally 4 groups, with 3-5 people in each
- Interaction within groups *and* between groups
- As participants: wherever there is a question mark (?) then that's an invitation to discuss...
- Themes and outcomings:
 - Contested narratives (and negotiation?)
 - Communities of Practice, mainstream or marginal?
 - Context of institutional power, self-authorship, knowledge and innovation within enterprise education
 - Shared IEEC 2015 vision for 2020

Institutional Support and Participation

- What does 'institutional support' look like? How do you experience this?
- Participation
 - Legitimate?
 - Peripheral?
 - Reputation?
 - Strategic importance of enterprise education?
- Dialogic approach – bringing forth complexity narratives
- Impact and effectiveness measures... 'killing off' the exceptional? New forms of conformity and institutional behaviours

Preliminary discussion in work groups:

What approaches might 'kill off' imaginative and creative participation?

Which might you (as an educator/change agent) use? (Yes – we are asking you to identify how you could destroy imagination and creativity!)

What about your students? Power within peer-groups...

Institutional and Personal Perspectives

- Institutional support for enterprise/entrepreneurship education and associated activities is a strategic focus for essentially all HEIs
- Clarity of purpose – ‘what it means for me’ – is far less common at the individual or small group level
- Leads to duplication of effort, lack of coordination, poor communication...
- ‘Real world impact’ and visibility (who are the ‘heroes’?)
- Negative ‘aura’ associated with enterprise *unless* it has large financial income generating capacity...
- Structural prejudice...
- Giving ‘permission’ for self-authorship

Enterprise Education and Participation

- Differentiating between learning ‘about’ and learning ‘for’ ...
- What could *inauthentic* participation look like?
- What *effects* could this have?
- Pushing the dogma...
- Driving away legitimate peripheral participants
- Subtle power and sabotage...
- Authentic participation...empowerment and communities of practice
- The ‘+1 strategy’ to alter balance (enantiodromia)

Theoretical Underpinnings

- Action research frameworks
- James Hillman's Kinds of Power
- Rawlinson's Quadrant Model – particularly the 'Hot-Unstructured' approach (Schlamm)
- Scale and fractal properties (formological systems)
- Crowd psychology and social mood, aspects of agency (systems thinking, systems interaction – conscious/unconscious – liminality)

Negatives...

- We have been discussing some very negative and destructive aspects of individual and institutional behaviours...
 - Reactions, reflections on these...
 - Counterproductive activities and behaviours that may alienate peripheral participants from enterprise activities
 - Questions over the legitimacy of enterprise activities
- So what can you do about it? (Gareth Morgan's 15%)
What influence have you over the other 85%?

...and Positives!

- Working the positives (the '+1 strategy')
- Making a difference
- Building capacity
- Where do you expect to be in 4 to 5 years time with enterprise/ entrepreneurship education? Consider from individual, institutional and group perspective
- Drawing these narratives together to build into our shared IEEC 2015 vision for 2020
- Reality checks and moving towards Rawlinson's 'Cool-Structured' approach
- Importance of educator development

Ways of Representing Narratives...

- Brief presentations...
- Plays
- Poetry
- Pictures
- Word clouds
- Using this approach to actively 'draw out' narratives
- Academic staff, enterprise staff, community groups, student groups, institutional management – representation matters
- Equality and self-authorship are critical for success and are an emergent property of this systems approach
- Diversity within working groups leads to greater effectiveness

References

Beabout, B. (2012); Turbulence, Perturbance, and Educational Change; *Complicity: an International Journal of Complexity and Education* 9(2), 15-29

Berger, J. (2004); Dancing on the Threshold of Meaning: Recognizing and Understanding the Growing Edge; *Journal of Transformative Education* 2(4), 336-351

Dirkx, J., Mezirow, J & Cranton, P. (2006); 'Musings and Reflections on the Meaning, Context and Process of Transformative Learning: a dialogue between John M. Dirkx and Jack Mezirow'; *Journal of Transformative Education* 4, 2

Hillman, J. (1995); Kinds of Power: a guide to its intelligent uses; New York, Currency Doubleday

McWhinney, W. & Markos, L. (2003); Transformative Education: Across the Threshold; *Journal of Transformative Education* 1(1), 16-37

Schlamm, L. (2001); Ken Wilbur's Spectrum Model: Identifying Alternative Soteriological Perspective; *Religion* 31, 19-39

Tisdell, E. & Thompson, P. (2007); 'Seeing from a different angle': the role of pop culture in teaching for diversity and critical media literacy in adult education; *International Journal of Lifelong Education* 28(6), 651-673